

#### I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 7/6/2021

ORM Number: SAS-2021-00390

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: GA City: Bloomingdale County/Parish/Borough: Chatham

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 32.116803 Longitude -81.319037

#### II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

### B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

#### C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>						
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):						
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):						
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):						
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



#### D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters (	Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>					
Exclusion Name	Exclusion		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination		
Pond A	0.77	acre(s)	(b)(8) Artificial lake/pond constructed or excavated in upland or a non-jurisdictional water, so long as the artificial lake or pond is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water that meets (c)(6).	Pond A is a man-dug pond intended for storm water detention. There is not sufficient information available to support that this feature was constructed in an (a)(1)-(4) water. It is currently surrounded by uplands and does not contribute surface water flows to a downstream (a)(1) in a typical year.		
Pond B	0.59	acre(s)	(b)(8) Artificial lake/pond constructed or excavated in upland or a non-jurisdictional water, so long as the artificial lake or pond is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water that meets (c)(6).	Pond A is a man-dug pond intended for storm water detention. There is not sufficient information available to support that this feature was constructed in an (a)(1)-(4) water. It is currently surrounded by uplands and does not contribute surface water flows to a downstream (a)(1) in a typical year.		
Pond C	0.12	acre(s)	(b)(8) Artificial lake/pond constructed or excavated in upland or a non-jurisdictional water, so long as the artificial lake or pond is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water that meets (c)(6).	Pond A is a man-dug pond intended for storm water detention. There is not sufficient information available to support that this feature was constructed in an (a)(1)-(4) water. It is currently surrounded by uplands. A ditch does extend from this feature and has the potential to carry surface water flows off-site towards downstream jurisdictional waters. This ditch is labeled as Ditch A and is not jurisdictional under the NWPR.		
Ditch A	637	linear feet	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and	Ditch A was constructed to convey stormwater. There is not sufficient information available to support that this feature was constructed in a		

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



Excluded waters (	Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$ :4						
Exclusion Name	Exclusion	n Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination			
			those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	jurisdictional water, and it is currently surrounded by uplands. Further, this ditch did not exhibit sufficient flow to be considered a tributary under the NWPR.			
Ditch B	4004	linear feet	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Ditch B was constructed to convey stormwater. There is not sufficient information available to support that this feature was constructed in a jurisdictional water, and it is currently surrounded by uplands. Further, this ditch did not exhibit sufficient flow to be considered a tributary under the NWPR.			

#### III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A.** Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
  - Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: AJD Request 06/30/2021 This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: N/A

- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).

- ☑ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): SAS-2021-00390
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: 05/12/2021 Soil Map
- □ USFWS NWI maps: 05/12/2021 NWI Map
- □ USGS topographic maps: 05/12/2021 Topographic Map

#### Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
Other USGS data (specify)	USGS Bloomingdale Quad
Other USDA data (specify)	NRCS Chatham County
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
LiDAR data/maps	NOAA Lidar 2009 Chatham County
FEMA/FIRM maps	DFIRM

**B.** Typical year assessment(s): RLC and the USACE conducted a site visit on 5/21/2021. Using the Atecedent Precipitation Tool Version 1.0, which used rainfall data from the Savannah International Airport



weather station, we have determined that wetter than normal rainfall conditions were present for the nearest weather station.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A